



Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 9 (1998) 4341-4360

Asymmetric peroxidation of prochiral allylic and benzylic compounds with *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide and chiral bisoxazoline–copper complexes [†]

Manfred Schulz,* Ralph Kluge and Feyissa Gadissa Gelalcha [‡]
Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg, Institut für Organische Chemie Merseburg, D-06099 Halle, Germany

Received 3 October 1998; accepted 27 October 1998

Abstract

The first enantioselective peroxidation of prochiral allylic and benzylic C-H compounds by the use of chiral bisoxazoline-copper(I) complexes, generated in situ from the ligands 3 and 4a-d, and t-BuOOH as oxidant is reported. Cyclohexene 1, cyclopentene 5, α-angelica lactone 7, allylbenzene 9 and 2-phenylbutane 11 were converted into the optically active allylic and benzylic tert-butyl peroxides 2, 6, 8, 10a and 12 in good yields and ee values of 4-20%. Oxidations of 1-substituted 1-cyclohexenes 13a-c led to mixtures of regioisomeric peroxides 16a-c, 17a-c and 18a-c with different regio- and enantioselectivities, depending on the 1-substituent and the ligand used. The highest ee values (up to 84%) were observed for (S)-3-tert-butylperoxy-1-methyl-1-cyclohexene 17a. © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The direct enantioselective oxidation of C-H bonds is still one of the most difficult problems in oxidation chemistry. In the last few years significant progress has been achieved in this type of transformation, especially in the asymmetric Kharasch-Sosnovsky reaction (Eq. 1), i.e. the enantioselective allylic acyloxylation of olefins. By using *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide (in the presence of carboxylic acids) or peresters as oxidants and chiral (S)-proline- or bis- and trisoxazoline-copper complexes, optically active allylic esters with ee values of up to 60% (oxidant: t-BuOOH)² and up to 93% (oxidant: PhCO₃t-Bu)³ were obtained.

^{*} Corresponding author.

[†] Dedicated to Prof. Dr. Ernst Schmitz (Berlin) on the occasion of his 70th birthday.

$$R^{1} \longrightarrow R^{2} \qquad \frac{RCO_{3}t - Bu}{L^{*}Cu(I)/Cu(II)} \qquad R^{1} \longrightarrow R^{2} \qquad (1)$$

$$R^{1} \longrightarrow R^{2} \qquad \xrightarrow{2 \text{ } l^{+} \text{Cu(I)/Cu(II)}} \qquad R^{1} \longrightarrow R^{2} \qquad (2)$$

By contrast, the direct (nonenzymatic) asymmetric introduction of a peroxy group in prochiral C-H substrates remains to date an unsolved problem in peroxide chemistry. No example of an asymmetric variant of the Kharasch reaction, i.e. the copper-catalyzed allylic peroxidation of olefins to optically active peroxides (Eq. 2), has been hitherto reported, although the corresponding racemic peroxidation has been known for a long time.⁴

We have recently demonstrated that allylic peroxides may serve as synthetically useful substrates, namely for the further highly diastereoselective functionalization of the double bond (epoxidation and bishydroxylation).⁵ Thus, if such a peroxidation could be performed asymmetrically, this would open the way to interesting new optically active functionalized peroxides.

2. Results and discussion

First attempts to achieve an asymmetric induction in the peroxidation of cyclohexene 1 with t-BuOOH (solvent: MeCN, temp.: 25–70°C) were made by employing chiral copper complexes, generated⁶ in situ from (S)-amino acids (pro, phe, val, leu, ile, ala and trans-OH-pro). Indeed, the obtained peroxide 2 was optically active, but had ee values of at most 4%. The application of copper complexes derived from Cu(I)OTf and chiral bisoxazoline ligands 3 and 4a,b, which have been successfully used in asymmetric acyloxylation reactions, 3a allowed the oxidations to be carried out at lower temperatures and led to an increase of the ee value of 2 to 8–9% (Eq. 3).

(10a: 10b = 72:28)

Similarly, cyclopentene 5, α -angelica lactone 7, allylbenzene 9 and racemic 2-phenylbutane 11 were oxidized with *t*-BuOOH in the presence of Cu(I)OTf/3.⁷ The optically active peroxides 6, 8, 10a and 12 were isolated in good yields and with ee values in the range of 4 to 20% (Eqs. 4–7).

conv. 67%

Oxidation of 1-substituted cyclohexenes 13a-c with the oxidant t-BuOOH and Cu(I)OTf/3 or 4a-d led to mixtures of isomeric peroxides 16a-c, 17a-c and 18a-c (Eqs. 8 and 9, Table 1), which are the products of the intermediate regioisomeric allylic radicals 14a-c (16a-c and 17a-c) and 15a-c (18a-c), formed by the initial radical attack on the different allylic positions of the substrates. The optically active isomeric peroxides 16a,b 17a-c and 18b were separated by flash chromatography and characterized by elemental analysis, NMR spectroscopy and optical rotation measurements. The isomers 16c and 18a,c were identified directly in the reaction mixtures (comparison with the racemic samples by GC-MS and HNMR).

The influence of the reaction conditions (ligand, solvent, temperature) on the regio- and enantioselectivity of the peroxidation is evident from the results obtained in the oxidation of 1-methylcyclohexene 13a. Low dependence of the product ratio (16a+17a):18a (between 68:32 and 74:26), representing the regioselectivity of the formation of the allylic radicals 14a and 15a, was observed in the temperature range from -48°C to 0°C. Products of the CH₃-group attack were only detected in traces. In contrast, the isomer ratio 16a:17a (products of radical 14a) is influenced both by the chiral ligand and by the reaction temperature. Using the ligand 3, the formation of the regioisomer 16a was favoured over 17a at lower temperatures (entries 1-7 of Table 1).

The highest ee values were obtained by employing the tridentate ligand 3, but the ees of the regioisomers 16a, 17a and 18a differ significantly. Whilst the peroxide 18a was obtained almost racemic (except entry 5, ee 10%), 16a had a max. 32% ee, but unexpectedly high ee values of up to 84% were observed for the regioisomer 17a (the ee value of 17a showed a stronger dependence on the temperature compared with the isomer 16a). Surprisingly, the regioisomeric peroxides 16a and 17a were obtained with opposite configurations.

The use of the bidentate bisoxazoline ligands 4a-d, which have been shown to give comparable or better enantioselectivities in the corresponding acyloxylation reaction (compared with ligand 3),³ led to drastically lower ee values (ee<10%) in the peroxidation of 13a (Table 1, entry 8; only 4a is shown, 4b-d gave analogous results).

The highest ee values were observed when the reactions were carried out in acetone or MeCN as solvent, while the use of CH₂Cl₂ resulted in a decreased enantioselectivity (entry 6).

Attempts were made to diminish the amounts of Cu(I)OTf and of the expensive enantiomerically pure ligand 3, but they resulted in lower ee values of the allylic peroxides 16a and 17a. This disadvantage was compensated by the addition of molecular sieves to tie up the water formed by the decomposition of t-BuOOH, which may destroy the active catalyst. 3c,8 This led to comparable ee values by employing only 1 mol% Cu(I)OTf and 1.5 mol% 3 (less than one twelfth of the initial amount, entry 7 of Table 1), but lower conversions were observed.

The effect of the substituent in the 1-position of substituted cyclohexenes on the regio- and enantioselectivity of the peroxidation became more apparent when 1-phenylcyclohexene 13b and 1-tert-butylcyclohexene 13c were oxidized by the t-BuOOH/Cu(I)OTf/3 combination (Table 1). Analogous regioisomeric peroxides 16b,c, 17b,c and 18b,c were formed, but in contrast to the oxidation of 1-methylcyclohexene 13a, the isomers 17b and 17c were obtained as the main products. This is probably a consequence of the increasing size of the R substituent (Ph, t-Bu) which influences the regioselectivities of both the hydrogen atom and the peroxy-group transfer. Additionally, lower ee values were found for the peroxides 17b and 17c compared to the analogous methyl-substituted isomer 17a (in the case of the

Table 1
Enantioselective allylic oxidation of 1-substituted 1-cyclohexenes 13a-c with t-BuOOH in the presence of Cu(I)OTf and chiral bisoxazoline ligands 3 and 4a

entry ^{a)}	ligand	educt	T [°C] ^{b)}	t [d]	conv.	yield	products: ee [%] ^{f)}
					[%] ^{c)}	16+17+18 [%] ^{d)}	(configuration) ^{g)}
						$(16/17/18)^{e)}$	
1	3	13a	0	14	82	72	16a: 19.6 (R) 17a: 32 (S) 18a: 0
						(35/33/32)	
2	3	13a	-36	23	44	52	16a: 23 (R) 17a: 66 (S) 18a: 0
						(51/23/26)	
3	3	13a	-40	20	40	89	16a: 28 (R) 17a: 70 (S) 18a: 0
						(53/19/28)	
4 ^{h)}	3	13a	-40	13	19	50	16a: 27 (R) 17a: 75 (S) 18a: 0
						(49/19/32)	
5 ⁱ⁾	3	13a	-48	20	29	54	16a: 32 (R) 17a: 84 (S) 18a: 10
						(57/17/26)	
6 ^{j)}	3	13a	-48	17	26	60	16a: n.d. 17a: 40 (S) 18a: 0
						(56/18/26)	
7 ^{h,k)}	3	13a	-45	17	9	75	16a: n.d. 17a: 68 (S) 18a: 0
						(51/18/31)	
8	4a	13a	-40	18	73	64	16a: 7 (R) 17a: 5 (S) 18a: 5
						(20/48/32)	
9	3	13b	-20 ^{l)}	23	61	98	16b : $-^{(m)}(+)^{(n)}$ 17b : 4 $(-)^{(n)}$ 18b : 0
						(28/55/17)	
10	3	13c	0	14	69	73	16c: - ^{m)} 17c: 8 (S) 18c: - ^{m)}
						(5/75/15)	
11	3	13c	-20 ¹⁾	27	76	67	16c: - ^{m)} 17c: 16 (S) 18c: - ^{m)}
						(4/81/15)	

a) Cu(I)OTf: 12 mol-%, 3 or 4: 17 mol-% (relative to the oxidant); solvent: MeCN. b) 0°C (± 1°C): a refrigerator was used; -36/-48°C (± 2°C): a freezer was used. c) Conversion of the oxidant. d) Isolated mixture of the regioisomers relative to conversion. e) Product ratio, determined by GC (13a,c) or ¹H NMR (13b) analysis. f) 17a, 18a: determined by chiral GC (column: FS-Hydrodex β-PM); 16a, 17c: determined by chiral GC (FS-Hydrodex β-PM) after reduction with DIBAH; 17b, 18b: determined by chiral HPLC (Chiralcel OD). b) 16a, 17a, 17c: determined after reduction (DIBAH) to the corresponding allylic alcohols by optical rotation measurements. h) Solvent: acetone. I Solvent: acetone/MeCN (1/1). Solvent: CH₂Cl₂. k) 1 mol-% Cu(I)OTf and 1.5 mol-% 3 were used (addition of molecular sieves 3A). No oxidation products detected at -40°C after 14d. Separation of the enantiomers on chiral GC or HPLC column was not achieved. The Sign of optical rotation, the configuration could not be determined because the reduction with DIBAH failed.

regioisomers 16b,c and 18c, separation of the enantiomers on chiral GC and HPLC columns was not achieved).

Since the allylic and benzylic peroxides were obtained here for the first time in optically active form, the determination of their configuration was necessary. Determination of the specific rotations allows only a tentative assignment of the configurations by supposing the same sign of rotation for the allylic peroxides and the corresponding allylic alcohols. This supposition was previously made for optically active benzylic alcohols and hydroperoxides. 9,10 but a rigorous configurational assignment required an independent chemical correlation. Since it has been shown that the reduction of optically active hydroperoxides and endoperoxides with aluminium hydrides proceeds under retention of the configuration, 11 the allylic and benzylic tert-butyl peroxides 2, 6, 10a, 12, 16a, 17a and 17c were reduced with dijsobutyl aluminium hydride (DIBAH) to the corresponding optically active alcohols (Table 2). Under the reduction conditions (nonpolar solvent, 30-60°C), decomposition or racemization of the optically active peroxides did not occur (determined by GC and $[\alpha]_D$ measurements). The application of LiAlH₄ as reductant was not successful, because partial reduction of the double bond was also observed. The configuration of the isolated allylic alcohols was easily determined by optical rotation measurements or by comparison with authentic samples of known enantiomeric purity by GC analysis on a chiral column (see Experimental section). From Table 2 it is evident that the isolated allylic alcohols and the introduced peroxides show, indeed, the same sign of optical rotation. Reduction of the peroxides 16b,c, 17b and 18b,c was not achieved even by raising the reaction temperature to 110°C (at higher temperature decomposition occurred); therefore, their configuration could not be determined unequivocally.

The above reduction method was also applied for the determination of the ee values of those optically active allylic peroxides for which the separation of the peroxide enantiomers was not achieved on chiral GC or HPLC columns.

At first sight, the asymmetric peroxidation seems to proceed analogously to the known acyloxylation reaction, but on comparison of the results for the peroxidation reaction of 1-methylcyclohexene 13a (Table 1) with those of the corresponding benzoyloxylation (Eq. 10), remarkable differences become evident: (i) comparable ratios were observed for the products of the radicals 14a and 15a, but opposite regioselectivities were found for the peroxides 16a/17a and the benzoates 19a/20a derived from the same radical 14a; (ii) different ee values were observed for the analogous regioisomers 16a/19a, 17a/20a and 18a/21a; (iii) more significantly, the tertiary peroxide 16a and the corresponding ester 19a had opposite configurations, thus (R)-(+)-16a was obtained in the peroxidation of 13a with both (S,S)-configured ligands 3 and 4a, while (S)-(-)-19a was formed preferentially in the benzoyloxylation of 13a with the oxidant PhCO₃t-Bu in the presence of Cu(I)OTf/3 (in agreement with the findings of Pfaltz et al. 3a and Andrus et al. 3b); (iv) a stronger ligand influence on the regio- and enantioselectivities was observed in the peroxidation reaction. Consequently, we conclude that the transfer steps of the peroxy group and the acyloxy group are different.

13a
$$\frac{\text{PhCO}_3 t\text{-Bu}}{\text{Cu(I)OTf, 3}}$$
 + $\frac{\text{BzO}_{tt}}{\text{OBz}}$ + $\frac{\text{BzO}_{tt}}{\text{OBz}}$ (10)

acetone, 0°C, 14 d

conv.: 37%

yield (Σ): 59% 17% (ee 72%) 55% (ee 73%) 28% (ee 70%)

The peroxidation and the acyloxylation are competitive reactions when mixtures of the oxidant t-BuOOH and carboxylic acids are employed in the presence of the chiral bisoxazoline–copper complexes.

Table 2
Reduction of optically active allylic and benzylic peroxides to optically active allylic and benzylic alcohols with DIBAH

	peroxide		reduction		all	ylic alcohol	
	ee [%]	[α] _D (c)/ Τ [°C] ^{a)}	conditions		yield ^{b)} [%]	ee [%] (config.)	[α] _D ²⁵ (c)
2	9 ^{c)}	-14.4 (1.43)/ 25	<i>n</i> -hexane 30°C, 10 h	<u>О</u> Н	70	8 ^{c)} (S) ^{d)}	n.d. ^{e)}
6	- ^{f)}	-4.0 (1.23)/ 26	<i>n</i> -hexane 40°C, 10 h	ОН ОН	62	$4^{g)}(S)^{h)}$	-3.2 (1.22) ^{a)}
10a	- ⁽¹⁾	n.d. ^{e)}	<i>n</i> -hexane 40°C, 24 h	QH Ph	50	$13^{c)}(R)^{d)}$	n.d. ^{e)}
12	_ f)	+3.5 (0.19)/ 241)	<i>n</i> -hexane 60°C, 8 h	HO Ph	54 ^{j)}	$4^{c)}(R)^{d)}$	n.d. ^{e)}
16a	- f)	+27.5 (1.42)/ 25	benzene 55°C, 24 h	ОН	37	$28^{c)} (R)^{h)}$	+25.9 (0.30) ^{k)}
17a	70 ^{c)}	n.d. ¹⁾	<i>n</i> -hexane 40°C, 24 h	ОН	75	50° (S) ^{d)}	n.d. ^{e)}
17c	- _U	-9.2 (0.44)/ 27	<i>n</i> -hexane 50°C, 10 h	(Bu	73	8 ^{c)} (S) ^{h)}	-5.2 (0.57) ^{a)}
17c	_ f)	-20.0 (1.93)/ 22	<i>n</i> -hexane 50°C, 10 h	ОН	73	16 ^{c)} 17 ^{g)} (S) ^{d)}	n.d. ^{e)}

^{a)} Solvent: CHCl₃. ^{b)} Isolated pure material. ^{c)} Determined by chiral GC analysis (FS-Hydrodex β-PM). ^{d)} Determined by chiral GC (comparison with an authentic sample of known configuration). ^{e)} Not determined. ^{f)} Separation of the enantiomers on chiral GC or HPLC columns was not achieved. ^{g)} Determined by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy [de value of the (S,S) Mosher ester¹²]. ^{h)} Determined from the sign of optical rotation. ⁽¹⁾ Solvent: CH₂Cl₂. ^{j)} GC analysis. ^{k)} Solvent: Et₂O. ⁽¹⁾ A sample of 17a (ee 66%, entry 2 of Table 1) showed [α]₀²⁵ -40.7 (c 1.33, CHCl₃).

This is shown in the oxidation of cyclohexene 1 with t-BuOOH/acetic acid resulting in both the peroxide 2 and the acetate 22 (Eq. 11). 13,14

Table 3
Oxidation of cyclohexene 1 with t-BuOOH/acetic acid in the presence of bisoxazoline-copper
complexes (ligands: 3 and 4a-d)

entry	Cu salt (amount [mol-%]) ^{a)}	ligand L* (amount [mol-%]) ^{a)}	conditions ^{b)} (temp. [°C])	products (yields [%]) ^{c)}	ee [%] ^{d)} (config.) ^{e)} of 22 ^{f)}
1	Cu(I)OTf (5.0)	3 (6.5)	A (65)	22 (33) 2 (11)	59 (S)
2	Cu(OAc) ₂ (5.0)	3 (6.5)	A (65)	22 (40) 2 (7)	38 (S)
3	Cu(OAc) ₂ (5.0) Cu ⁰ (3.0) ^{g)}	3 (6.5)	A (25)	22 (80) 2 (14)	34 (S)
4	Cu ₂ O (2.5)	3 (6.5)	A (65)	22 (71) 2 (23)	66 (S)
5	Cu ₂ O (2.5)	3 (6.5)	B (65)	22 (72) 2 (22)	41 (S)
6	Cu ₂ O (2.5)	3 (6.5)	C (65)	22 (38) 2 (24)	27 (S)
7	Cu ₂ O (2.5)	3 (6.5)	D (65)	22 (20) 2 (46)	15 (S)
8	Cu ₂ O (2.5)	3 (6.5)	E (65)	22 (15) 2 (76)	14 (S)
9	Cu ₂ O (2.5) Cu ⁰ (3.0)	3 (13)	A (25)	22 (32) 2 (14)	67 (S)
10	Cu ₂ O (2.5)	4a (6.5)	A (65)	22 (20) 2 (70)	5 (S)
11	Cu ₂ O (2.5)	4b (6.5)	A (65)	22 (36) 2 (40)	47 (S)
12	Cu ₂ O (2.5)	4c (6.5)	A (65)	22 (27) 2 (26)	56 (R)
13	Cu ₂ O (2.5)	4d (6.5)	A (65)	22 (33) 2 (24)	24 (S)

^{a)} Amounts relative to *t*-BuOOH. ^{b)} Reaction time 24 h; temp. ± 3°C; molar ratios 1/AcOH/*t*-BuOOH: A 5/2.5/1, B 5/4/1, C 5/2.5/2, D 5/2.5/3, E 5/2.5/4. ^{c)} Isolated pure material relative to *t*-BuOOH consumed. Conversion of *t*-BuOOH >95% (exceptions: entry 7, 63%; entry 8, 36%). ^{d)} Determined by chiral GC analysis (FS-Hydrodex β-PM). e) Determined by optical rotation measurements (see Experimental part), by comparing (chiral GC) with a sample (S)-22 (ee 25%) prepared by an independent method, ¹⁵ and by hydrolysis of (S)-22 to (S)-1-cyclohexen-3-ol. ^{f)} The ee value of 2 was <4% in all experiments (chiral GC). ^{g)} When Cu₂O was used, the actual amount of Cu in the filtered solution was 0.15 mol-% (determined by AES analysis).

The product ratio 2:22 depends on the chiral ligand, the reaction temperature and the ratio t-BuOOH:acetic acid used (Table 3). Preferential formation of the acetate 22 was observed with the ligand 3, whilst a higher peroxide yield was obtained for the ligand 4a. Peroxide 2 is favoured over acetate 22 by increased amounts of t-BuOOH and at lower temperatures (in the presence of CuOTf/3, exclusively peroxide 2 was observed at 0°C). Both isolated peroxide 2 and acetate 22 were optically active. While the ee value of the peroxide 2 was <4% in all cases, the acetate 22 was obtained with substantially higher ee values. The highest enantioselectivities for the acetoxylation of 1 (ee of 22: 59–67%, entries 1, 4 and 9 of Table 3) were achieved under A conditions (excess substrate) by using Cu₂O or CuOTf in the presence of the ligand 3. The addition of copper powder^{2c} (entries 3 and 9) allowed a decrease in the reaction temperature from 65 to 25°C without a decrease of the conversion, but had no significant effect on the enantioselectivity. Increased concentrations of t-BuOOH or AcOH led to significantly decreased ee values of the acetate 22 (entries 5–8 of Table 3).

To examine the diastereoselectivity of the peroxidation reaction, both enantiomers of α -pinene, (-)-(1S,5S)-2-pinene 23a and (+)-(1R,5R)-2-pinene 23b, were chosen as chiral substrates and t-BuOOH as the oxidant in the presence of Cu(I)OTf and the chiral ligand 3 (Eq. 12). Oxidation of 23a led to four regioisomeric pinene peroxides (products of the hydrogen atom abstraction from the allylic

CH₂ and CH₃ groups). Three of the isomers **24a/25a**, **26a/27a** and **28a/29a** were obtained as pairs of diastereoisomers. The isomeric peroxides **24a-28a** were separated by flash chromatography and their structure was proven by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy (¹H, ¹H-COSY, ¹H, ¹H-NOESY, ¹H, ¹³C-HETCOR and APT experiments). The minor isomers **29a** and **30a** were identified directly from the crude mixture (¹H NMR and GC-MS). The main product (1S,4R,5S)-4-tert-butylperoxy-2-pinene **26a** was isolated in 17% yield (relative to conversion).

The product ratio (GC) observed in the oxidation of **23a** demonstrates the preferential substitution at the 4-position (formation of **26a**, **27a**) of the pinene skeleton (ca. 69%). Nevertheless, in contrast to the corresponding acyloxylation of **23**, ¹⁶ substitution at the 2-positions **24a**, **25a** was also observed (ca. 17%). The introduction of the peroxy group occurred mainly *anti* to the dimethyl-substituted bridge (*exo* substitution), and the de values of the isomeric peroxides varied in the range from 36% up to 90%, which depended on the position of the peroxy group.

The regionselectivities of the peroxidation of the pinene 23a are different from those observed in the oxidation of 1-methylcyclohexene 13a. The predominant formation of the secondary peroxides 26a, 27a and the increased amounts of the substitution products 28a-30a of the methyl group in the case of pinene 23a may be the result of the sterically demanding dimethyl-substituted bridge.

The oxidation of the enantiomeric (+)-(1R,5R)-2-pinene 23b under the same conditions (as described for 23a) resulted in the analogous isomeric pinene peroxides 24b-30b (enantiomers of 24a-30a). The following product composition was observed by GC analysis: 24b (20%), 25b (1.5%), 26b (42.1%), 27b (20.5%), 28b (7.8%), 29b (1.2%) and 30b (5.7%). Thus, no significantly different regio- and diastereoselectivities are displayed in the peroxidation of both enantiomers 23a and 23b. In the oxidation of pinene 23, the substrate structure (steric hindrance by the dimethyl-substituted bridge) seems to be the determinant factor for the stereochemical course of the reaction compared with the stereodifferentiation by the chiral copper complex.

From our results obtained in the copper-catalyzed allylic peroxidation, it is evident that this reaction cannot be explained by a simple radical combination⁴ or by trapping of carbenium ions formed by electron transfer^{17a} from the corresponding allylic radical. Instead, in view of the formation of optically active peroxides with ee values up to 84%, a chiral copper complex must be involved in the peroxygroup transfer. It is well established that allylic radicals are formed initially,¹⁷ but little is known on how the peroxy residue is transferred. For the similar acyloxylation reaction, the formation of a copper(III)

Figure 1. Possible transition states for the transfer of the acyloxy-group (**A**, according to Beckwith et al.¹⁹) and of the peroxy-group (**B-D**) in the oxidation of 1-methyl-1-cyclohexene (**13a**). Formation of *exo* products from α -pinene **23a** via *endo* coordinated copper(III) complex (**E**) or by homolytic *exo* substitution (**F**)

allyl species by the very fast reaction of copper(II) and the allylic radical (compare with Cohen and Meyerstein¹⁸) and the transfer of the acyloxy group in an intramolecular S_N2' -like pericyclic process was proposed by Beckwith et al.¹⁹ (Fig. 1, transition state **A**), which is in agreement with the observed regio- and enantioselectivities.³

An analogous process is unlikely for the peroxidation reaction because of the significantly different regio- and enantioselectivities. The formation of the oppositely configured peroxides (R)-16a and (S)-17a in the peroxidation of 1-methylcyclohexene 13a shows that the peroxy group has been introduced mainly from the same enantiotopic side of the substrate for both regioisomers. This result might imply the occurrence of an external nucleophilic attack of the hydroperoxide on an intermediate copper(III) allyl complex (Fig. 1, transition state B), analogous to the well-established mechanism of the palladium-catalyzed allylic substitution.²⁰ However, this mechanism is inconsistent with the prefered *exo* substitution observed in the peroxidation of α -pinene 23a,b, because it would require *endo* coordination of the metal (Fig. 1, transition state E). Instead, the exclusive coordination on the *exo* side of the pinene was demonstrated in the case of an analogous palladium(II) complex.²¹ Additionally, the formation of the secondary and tertiary peroxides 10a and 16a as the main regioisomers in the oxidation of allylbenzene 9 and 1-methylcyclohexene 13a is in contrast to the preferred reaction of nucleophiles at the less substituted carbon atom of the allyl palladium complexes.²⁰

As alternative pathways (Fig. 1, transition states C, D or F), we would like to propose the reaction of the allylic radicals with an intermediate alkylperoxy-copper(II) complex²² [peroxy-copper(II) complexes have been isolated recently²³] or with an alkylperoxy radical coordinated to the copper(II) centre (metal-coordinated peroxy radicals were detected by ESR spectroscopy²⁴). Both pathways are in better agreement with the observed regio-, diastereo- and enantioselectivities of the peroxidation and they avoid the formation of an unstable copper(III) intermediate. In biochemical oxidations, e.g. for galactose oxidase, the participation of copper(III) complexes was likewise excluded;²⁵ instead, aryloxy radicals coordinated to the copper(II) centre were shown to be the active key intermediates (corresponding model complexes of aryloxy radicals and different metals were prepared by Wieghardt et al.²⁶).

In summary, our results represent the first examples for the direct asymmetric peroxidation of prochiral C-H substrates, achieved by the use of chiral bisoxazoline-copper complexes. The new optically active peroxides were isolated in moderate to good yields, and side reactions (formation of alcohols, carbonyl

compounds or epoxides) were not observed in amounts worth mentioning. Although the ee values of the peroxides were rather modest (ee 4–32%) in most cases, high enantioselectivities were obtained in the asymmetric peroxidation of 1-methylcyclohexene 13a, which yielded (S)-3-tert-butylperoxy-1-methyl-1-cyclohexene 17a with ee values up to 84%. This is the first example for which a higher enantioselectivity was found in the peroxidation reaction compared with the corresponding acyloxylation (the benzoate 20a was obtained with ee values of max. 73%).

3. Experimental

3.1. General

¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Gemini 300 (300 MHz) or a Varian Unity 500 (500 MHz) spectrometer with CDCl₃ as the solvent and hexamethyldisiloxane (HMDSO) as internal reference. Chemical shifts (δ) are given in ppm. GC analyses of the enantiomers were performed on a Chrompack CP 9000 gas chromatograph (FID) by the use of a chiral capillary column FS-Hydrodex B-PM (50 m×0.25 mm, Macherey-Nagel). Otherwise a Varian GC 3300 gas chromatograph (FID, 30 m DB 5 capillary column) or a Varian Saturn II GC-MS system was utilized. For the HPLC analyses of the enantiomers a Merck-Hitachi D-6000 apparatus (pump: L-6250) was used, equipped with a L-3000 diode array detector and a Knauer chiral detector (solvent: n-hexane:i-PrOH 1000:1; flow rate: 0.5 ml/min). Optical rotation measurements were carried out with a Perkin-Elmer polarimeter 341. The solvent MeCN and the olefins 1 and 5 (commercial products from Fluka) were distilled from CaH₂ prior use under an N₂ atmosphere. Acetone was distilled over P_4O_{10} and was stored over molecular sieves under N_2 . tert-Butyl hydroperoxide (80% in di-tert-butyl peroxide) and tert-butyl perbenzoate (98%) were purchased from Fluka; the peroxide contents were determined by iodometric titration before use. The chiral bisoxazoline ligands 3, 4a,b and 4d were commercially available from Aldrich and Fluka and were used without further purification. Copper(I) triflate [Cu(I)OTf·0.5C₆H₆] (90%) was obtained from Fluka. Silica gel for flash chromatography (Mallinckrodt Baker) was used for the chromatographic purifications. The reactions at lower temperatures were carried out by using a refrigerator $(0\pm1^{\circ}\text{C})$ or a freezer (-20 to $-50\pm2^{\circ}C$).

3.2. General procedure for the asymmetric peroxidation reactions

The oxidation of cyclohexene 1 is representative. A solution of 456 mg (1.48 mmol) (S,S)-2,6-bis-(4-isopropyl-2-oxazolin-2-yl)-pyridine 3 and 280 mg (1 mmol) $[Cu(I)OTf \cdot 0.5(C_6H_6)]$ in 20 ml dry acetone was stirred at rt for 2 h under N_2 . The catalyst solution was filtered over a Celite bed (Merck, particle size 0.1 mm) and the residue was washed with 2 ml acetone. Then 3.3 g (40 mmol) cyclohexene 1 was added and the mixture was degassed and then cooled to $-45^{\circ}C$. Under N_2 , a degassed solution of 0.904 g t-BuOOH (8 mmol, 80% solution in di-tert-butyl peroxide) in 2 ml acetone was added within 10 min with stirring. The reaction mixture was kept at $-45^{\circ}C$ for 17 days. The conversion of t-BuOOH (31%) was determined by iodometric titration. After the addition of 40 ml saturated aq. NaHCO₃, the mixture was extracted with Et₂O (3×30 ml). The combined organic layers were washed with ice-cold 1 N HCl and with brine and dried over MgSO₄. Removal of the solvent in vacuo (rt, ca. 50 mbar) gave the crude peroxide.

3.3. (S)-3-tert-Butylperoxy-1-cyclohexene 2

Chromatographic purification (*n*-pentane:Et₂O 50:1) of the crude product (see above) yielded 160 mg (75% rel. to conv.) of the pure peroxide **2** {[α]_D²⁵ –14.4 (c 1.43, CHCl₃); ee 9% (*S*)} as a colourless oil. The ee value was determined by chiral GC analysis [temp. 40°C–1 K/min–140°C; t_R 62.5 min (*R*), 62.8 min (*S*)]. The configuration of **2** (*S*) was determined by reduction with DIBAH to (*S*)-1-cyclohexen-3-ol (see below). ¹H NMR (300 MHz): 1.19 (s, 9H, *t*-Bu), 1.35 (m, 1H, CH₂), 1.71 (m, 4H, 2CH₂), 2.05 (m, 1H, CH₂), 4.48 (m, 1H, OCH), 5.75 (m, 1H, CH_{olef}), 5.86 (m, 1H, CH_{olef}). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz): 18.3, 25.2, 26.3, 26.7, 76.2, 79.4, 124.5, 132.8. GC–MS (EI): m/z 97 (65, M⁺–C₄H₉O), 81 (100, M⁺–C₄H₉O₂). *Reduction of 2 with DIBAH*: To a solution of 80 mg (0.47 mmol) **2** in 2 ml dry benzene (N₂), 1 ml (1 mmol) DIBAH (1 M in hexane, Aldrich) was added and the mixture was stirred at 30°C (4 h). After the completion of the reaction (TLC), water was added dropwise and the precipitate was filtered off and washed with ether. The filtrate was dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent was evaporated (rt, ca. 50 mbar). The crude product was purified by flash chromatography to yield 32 mg (70%) pure 1-cyclohexen-3-ol [ee 8% (*S*), chiral GC: temp. 40°C–1 K/min–140°C, t_R 35.6 min (*S*), 36.3 min (*R*)]. The configuration was determined by comparison with a sample of (*S*)-1-cyclohexen-3-ol (GC), obtained by hydrolysis of the corresponding (*S*)-acetate **22**.² The ¹H NMR data corresponded well with the reported data.²⁷

3.4. (S)-3-tert-Butylperoxy-1-cyclopentene 6

According to the general procedure, 10 g (146.8 mmol) cyclopentene **5** was oxidized with 3.25 g (28.8 mmol) t-BuOOH (80%) in the presence of 0.4 g (1.86 mmol) Cu(I)OTf and 0.84 g (2.8 mmol) bisoxazoline **3** in 30 ml acetone at -40° C (15 d, conv. of t-BuOOH: 51%). After work up and flash chromatography (n-pentane:Et₂O 25:1), 1.25 g (95% rel. to conv.) pure peroxide **6** {[α]_D²⁶ -4.0 (c 1.23, CHCl₃), ee 4% (S)} was isolated. The ee value and the configuration were determined after reduction of **6** with DIBAH and transformation of the obtained 1-cyclopenten-3-ol to the corresponding Mosher ester (see later). ¹H NMR (300 MHz): 1.26 (s, 9H, t-Bu), 2.02 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.28 (m, 1H, CH₂), 2.49 (m, 1H, CH₂), 5.09 (m, 1H, OCH), 5.86 (m, 1H, CH_{olef}), 6.11 (m, 1H, CH_{olef}). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz): 26.5, 28.3, 79.7, 88.9, 129.0, 137.6.

Reduction of 6: As described for the reduction of 2, 234 mg (1.5 mmol) 6 in 3 ml *n*-hexane was reacted with 2 ml (2 mmol) DIBAH (1M solution in hexane) at 40°C (10 h). After analogous work up and chromatography, 78 mg (62%) (S)-1-cyclopenten-3-ol {[α]_D²⁵ -3.2 (c 1.22, CHCl₃)}^{27a} was isolated. For the determination of the ee value, 12 mg (0.14 mmol) of the pure alcohol was treated with 38 μl (0.15 mmol) (S)-(+)-MTPA chloride (Fluka) in CHCl₃ at 0°C in the presence of pyridine according to the procedure of Mosher et al. ¹² to yield 39 mg (88%) 1-cyclopenten-3-yl-[(S)-α-methoxy-α-trifluoromethylphenyl] acetate [de 4% (S,S), ¹⁹F NMR analysis]. ¹⁹F NMR (282.3 MHz): -70.97 (S,S), -70.87 (S,S) (external reference: CF₃CO₂H, δ -77 ppm). The ¹H NMR data corresponded with the published data. ¹²

3.5. (+)-5-tert-Butylperoxy-2,5-dihydro-5-methyl-2-furanone 8

According to the general procedure, 1.96 g (20 mmol) α -angelica lactone (7) was oxidized in 7 ml MeCN with 360 mg (4 mmol) t-BuOOH (98%), 140 mg (0.5 mmol) Cu(I)OTf and 228 mg (0.75 mmol) of the ligand 3 at -40°C (24 d, conv. of t-BuOOH: 65%). After usual work up and chromatography (n-pentane:Et₂O 10:1), 170 mg (70% rel. to conv.) pure 8 was obtained as a colourless oil { $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +2.06 (c 0.26, CHCl₃), ee 20%}. The ee value was determined by chiral GC analysis (temp. 120°C; t_R 28.0 min,

29.0 min). Elemental analysis: calc. (found) C: 58.04 (58.10), H: 7.58 (7.40). 1 H NMR (300 MHz): 1.19 (s, 9H, t-Bu), 1.61 (s, 3H, CH₃), 6.12 (d, 1H, CH, J 5.5 Hz), 7.15 (d, 1H, CH, J 5.5 Hz). 13 C NMR (75 MHz): 20.7 (CH₃), 26.2 (CH₃), 81.4 (C), 110.1 (C), 123.6 (CH), 152.8 (CH), 169.9 (CO). IR (neat): v (cm⁻¹) 1801 (C=O).

3.6. (R)-1-tert-Butylperoxy-1-phenyl-2-propene 10a

Oxidation of 2.38 g (20 mmol) allylbenzene **9** with 360 mg (4 mmol) t-BuOOH (98%) in 7 ml MeCN in the presence of 140 mg (0.5 mmol) Cu(I)OTf and 228 mg (0.75 mmol) bisoxazoline **3** at -18° C (20 d, conv. of t-BuOOH: 82%) gave, after aqueous work up and chromatographic purification (n-pentane:Et₂O 40:1), 250 mg of a mixture of the isomeric peroxides **10a** and **10b** (ratio **10a**:1**0b**=72:28, 1 H NMR analysis). Repeated chromatography (n-pentane:Et₂O 100:1) yielded 165 mg (49% rel. to conv.) pure **10a** [ee 13% (R)] as colourless oil. The ee value and the configuration of **10a** were determined by chiral GC analysis after reduction of **10a** to 1-phenyl-2-propen-1-ol (see later). The structure of the byproduct trans-3-tert-butylperoxy-1-phenyl-1-propene (**10b**) was determined directly from the crude mixture by 1 H NMR analysis.

10a: Elemental analysis: calc. (found) C: 75.70 (75.50), H: 8.74 (8.91). ¹H NMR (300 MHz): 1.22 (s, 9H, t-Bu), 5.21 (d, 1H, CH_{2 olef}, J 11.3 Hz), 5.27 (ddd, 1H, CH_{2 olef}, J₁ 17.5 Hz, J₂ 1.5 Hz, J₃ 1.3 Hz), 5.35 (d, 1H, CHO, J 6.8 Hz), 6.04 (ddd, 1H, CH_{olef}, J₁ 17.5 Hz, J₂ 11.1 Hz, J₃ 6.8 Hz), 7.29 (m, 5H, CH_{arom}). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz): 26.5 (CH₃), 80.4 (OCH), 86.8 (C), 117.7 (CH₂), 127.5, 127.9, 128.3, 136.9, 139.3.

10b: ¹H NMR (300 MHz): 1.26 (s, 9H, t-Bu), 4.58 (d, 2H, CH₂, J 6.5 Hz), 6.3 (dt, 1H, CH_{olef}, J₁ 15.9 Hz, J₂ 6.6 Hz), 6.61 (d, 1H, CH_{olef}, J 16.0 Hz), 7.31 (m, 5H, CH_{arom}).

Reduction of 10a: Reduction of 309 mg (1.5 mmol) 10a in 3 ml n-hexane with 2.5 ml (2.5 mmol) DIBAH (1 M solution in hexane) at 40°C (24 h) yielded, after work up and chromatography (see reduction of 2), 101 mg (50%) (R)-1-phenyl-2-propen-1-ol (ee 13%). The ee value and the configuration were determined by chiral GC analysis [temp. 140°C; t_R 21.3 min (R), 21.7 min (R)] by comparing with racemic and (R)-1-phenyl-2-propen-1-ol (Fluka). The 1H NMR data were in accordance with those of the authentic samples.

3.7. (R)-2-tert-Butylperoxy-2-phenylbutane 12

2-Phenylbutane (2.684 g, 20 mmol) was oxidized in 7 ml MeCN at -18° C (20 d) by using 360 mg (4 mmol) t-BuOOH (98%), 140 mg (0.5 mmol) Cu(I)OTf and 228 mg (0.75 mmol) of the ligand **3** (conv. of t-BuOOH: 67%). Usual work up and chromatography (n-pentane:Et₂O 80:1) yielded 126 mg (70% rel. to conv.) pure peroxide 12 {[α]_D²⁴ +3.54 (c 0.19, CH₂Cl₂), ee 4% (R)}. The ee value and the configuration were determined by reduction to 2-phenyl-2-butanol (see below). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD): 0.79 (t, 3H, CH₃, J 7.5 Hz), 1.27 (s, 9H, t-Bu), 1.62 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.86 (m, 2H, CH₂), 7.02–7.44 (m, 5H, CH_{arom}). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CD₃OD): 18.95, 24.35, 27.37, 34.48, 79.94, 85.11, 127.28, 127.79, 128.97, 146.73. Reduction of 12: 89 mg (0.4 mmol) 12, dissolved in 1 ml n-hexane, was reacted with 0.5 ml (0.5 mmol) DIPAH (1 M solvetics in houses) at 60% (R) by R (R) 2 where R (R) 2 where R (R) R

DIBAH (1 M solution in hexane) at 60°C (8 h) to (R)-2-phenylbutanol (yield: 54%, GC). The ee value (4%) and the configuration (R) were determined by chiral GC analysis [temp.: 60°C-1 K/min-140°C; t_R 29.87 min (S), 32.78 min (R)] by comparing with an authentic sample [ee 62% (R)²⁸].

3.8. Peroxidation of 1-methyl-1-cyclohexene 13a

(a) (Entry 1 of Table 1): According to the general procedure, 1.952 g (20 mmol) 13a was oxidized with 0.455 g t-BuOOH (4 mmol, 80%) in the presence of 228 mg (0.75 mmol) 3 and 140 mg (0.5 mmol) Cu(I)OTf at 0°C in 10 ml MeCN (14 d, conv. of t-BuOOH: 82%, iodometric titration) to yield, after work up and evaporation of the solvent in vacuo (rt, ca. 50 mbar), 220 mg (72% rel to conv.) of a mixture of the regioisomeric peroxides 16a–18a (ratio 16a:17a:18a=35:32:33, GC analysis). Separation by flash chromatography (n-hexane:EtOAc 50:1) afforded 62 mg (21%) pure 3-tert-butylperoxy-3-methyl-1-cyclohexene 16a { $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +19.1 (0.9, CHCl₃); ee 20% (R), chiral GC after reduction with DIBAH—see below} and a mixture of 17a and 18a. Repeated chromatography (n-hexane:EtOAc 400:1) of this mixture gave 50 mg (16%) pure 3-tert-butylperoxy-1-methyl-1-cyclohexene (17a) { $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -22.9 (c 1.59, CHCl₃); ee 32% (S), chiral GC: temp. 80°C-2 K/min-140°C, t_R 26.17 min (R), 26.40 min (S)}. The configurations of 17a and 18a were determined by reduction to the corresponding allylic alcohols (see below). The peroxide 18a was not isolated in pure form, the ee value was determined directly from the crude mixture (ee 0%, chiral GC: temp. 80°C-2 K/min-140°C; t_R 24.06 min, 24.30 min).

16a: ¹H NMR (300 MHz): 1.20 (s, 9H, t-Bu), 1.27 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.40–2.10 (m, 6H, 3CH₂), 5.57 (dm, 1H, CH_{olef}, J 10 Hz), 5.81 (dt, 1H, J_I 10 Hz, J_2 3.6 Hz). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz): 19.4, 25.3, 25.5, 32.9, 77.2, 78.4, 130.6, 130.8. GC–MS (EI): m/z 95 (100, M⁺–C₄H₉O₂).

17a: 1 H NMR (300 MHz): 1.20 (m, 1H, CH₂), 1.24 (s, 9H, t-Bu), 1.53 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.68 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.90 (m, 3H, 2CH₂), 4.37 (m, 1H, CHO), 5.46 (m, 1H, CH_{olef}). 13 C NMR (75 MHz): 18.41 (CH₂), 23.94 (CH₃), 26.43 (CH₂), 26.51 (CH₃), 30.4 (CH₂), 77.01 (CHO), 79.74 (CO), 118.48 (CH), 142.23 (C). GC–MS (EI): m/z 95 (100, M⁺–C₄H₉O₂).

18a (analysis of the mixture with **17a**): ¹H NMR (300 MHz): 1.25 (s, 9H, t-Bu), 1.78 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.40–2.10 (m, 6H, 3CH₂), 4.23 (m, 1H, CHO), 5.66 (m, 1H, CH_{olef}). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz): 17.3, 21.7, 25.6, 27.0, 79.6, 83.2, 129.1, 130.7. GC–MS (EI): m/z 95 (100, M⁺–C₄H₉O₂).

- (b) (Entry 2 of Table 1): From the oxidation of 10 g (102.5 mmol) 13a with 2.135 g (20.5 mmol) t-BuOOH, 1.168 g (3.84 mmol) 3 and 0.717 g (2.56 mmol) Cu(I)OTf in 80 ml MeCN at -36° C (23 d, conv. of t-BuOOH: 44%), 427 mg (52% rel. to conv.) of the crude peroxide mixture (ratio 16a:17a:18a=51:22:27, GC) was obtained. Analogous chromatographic separation [see (a)] gave 130 mg (16%) pure peroxide 16a {[α]_D²⁶ +21.5 (c 1.39, CHCl₃); ee 23% (R)} and 65 mg (8%) 17a {[α]_D²⁵ -40.7 (c 1.33, CHCl₃); ee 66% (S)}. The regioisomer 18a (ee 0%, chiral GC) was not isolated.
- (c) (Entry 3 of Table 1): As described above, 1.952 g (20 mmol) 13a was reacted with 452 mg (4 mmol) t-BuOOH, 228 mg (0.75 mmol) 3 and 140 mg (0.5 mmol) Cu(I)OTf in 10 ml MeCN at -40° C (20 d, conv. of t-BuOOH: 44%) to yield 144 mg (89% rel. to conv.) of the crude peroxide mixture (ratio 16a:17a:18a=53:19:28). Chromatographic separation gave 52 mg (28%) pure 16a {[α]_D²⁵ +27.46 (c 1.42, CHCl₃); ee 28% (R)} and 22 mg (12%) 17a [ee 70% (S)]. For the isomer 18a an ee value of 0% was determined (GC analysis of the crude mixture).
- (d) (Entry 5 of Table 1): Analogously, 1.952 g (20 mmol) 13a was oxidized with 360 mg (4 mmol) t-BuOOH (>98%), 228 mg (0.75 mmol) 3 and 140 mg (0.5 mmol) Cu(I)OTf in 10 ml acetone:MeCN (1:1) at -48°C (20 d, conv. of t-BuOOH: 29%) to yield 57 mg (54% rel. to conv.) of the crude peroxide mixture (ratio 16a:17a:18a=57:17:26, GC). The ee values of 17a (84%) and 18a (10%) were determined directly from the crude mixture by chiral GC analysis. The ee value of 16a (32%) and the configurations of 16a (R) and 17a (S) were determined by chiral GC analysis of the mixture of allylic alcohols obtained by reduction of the crude peroxide mixture with DIBAH.

Reduction of 16a with DIBAH: Likewise treatment of 90 mg (0.49 mmol) 16a with 1 ml 1 M DIBAH as described above for 2 (55°C, 24 h) yielded, after usual work up and flash chromatography

(*n*-hexane:EtOAc 10:1), 20 mg (37%) pure 3-methyl-1-cyclohexen-3-ol {ee 28% (*R*); $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +25.9 (c 0.3, Et₂O)²⁹}. The ee was determined by chiral GC [temp. 80°C–2 K/min–140°C; t_R 18.3 min (*S*), 18.6 min (*R*)]. All spectroscopic data corresponded well with those reported.²⁹

Reduction of 17a with DIBAH: Analogous treatment of 17a (313 mg, 1.7 mmol; ee 70%) in 3 ml n-hexane with 3 mmol DIBAH (40°C, h) as described for 16a gave, after chromatographic purification (n-hexane:EtOAc 10:1), 143 mg (75%) pure 1-methyl-1-cyclohexen-3-ol [ee 50% (S)]. The ee was determined by chiral GC [temp.: 80° C-2 K/min-140°C; t_R 23.4 min (S), 24.4 min (R)]. The configuration was determined by comparing (chiral GC) with a sample of the (S) configured alcohol (ee 73%) obtained after hydrolysis of the benzoate (S)-20a with KOH/MeOH (see below). The analytic data were in agreement with the reported values. ²⁹

3.9. Peroxidation of 1-phenyl-1-cyclohexene 13b

Following the general procedure, 8.512 g (51.23 mmol) **13b** was oxidized in 30 ml MeCN with 1.16 g (10.25 mmol) *t*-BuOOH, 584 mg (1.92 mmol) **3** and 359 mg (1.28 mmol) Cu(I)OTf at -20° C (23 d, conv. of *t*-BuOOH: 61%). After column chromatography (*n*-pentane:Et₂O 10:1), 770 mg (98% rel. to conv.) of the crude peroxide mixture (ratio **16b:17b:18b=**28:55:17, ¹H NMR analysis) was obtained. 200 mg of this mixture was separated by flash chromatography (*n*-pentane:Et₂O 100:1) to yield 50 mg (25%) pure 3-*tert*-butylperoxy-3-phenyl-1-cyclohexene **16b** {[α]_D²¹ +0.17 (c 1.57, CHCl₃)}, 123 mg (62%) 3-*tert*-butylperoxy-1-phenyl-1-cyclohexene **17b** {[α]_D²² -0.24 (c 2.24, CHCl₃); ee 4%, chiral HPLC: Chiralcel OD, t_R 12.8 min (-), 14.2 min (+)} and 16 mg (8%) 3-*tert*-butylperoxy-2-phenyl-1-cyclohexene **18b** [ee 0%, chiral HPLC: Chiralcel OD, t_R 10.9 min (+), 12.3 min (-)].

16b: Elemental analysis: calc. (found) C: 78.04 (78.58), H 8.94 (9.21). 1 H NMR (300 MHz): 1.20 (s, 9H, t-Bu),1.50–2.10 (m, 6H, 3CH₂), 5.96–6.08 (m, 2H, 2CH_{olef}), 7.20–7.30 (m, 3H, CH_{arom}), 7.45 (d, 2H, CH_{arom}, J 7.4 Hz). 13 C NMR (75 MHz): 19.13 (CH), 25.16 (CH₂), 26.77 (CH₃), 34.85 (CH₂), 79.03 (C), 81.51 (C), 126.58 (CH), 126.78 (CH), 127.68 (CH), 129.83 (CH), 130.93 (CH), 145.20 (C). GC–MS (EI): m/z 158 (100, M⁺–88).

17b: Elemental analysis: calc. (found) C: 78.04 (78.02), H: 8.94 (8.35). ¹H NMR (300 MHz): 1.28 (s, 9H, *t*-Bu), 1.70–2.50 (m, 6H,3CH₂), 4.61 (m, 1H, CHO), 6.13 (m, 1H, CH_{olef}), 7.27 (m, 2H, CH_{arom}), 7.33 (m, 1H, CH_{arom}), 7.41 (d, 2H, CH_{arom}, *J* 7.3 Hz). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz): 18.98 (CH₂), 24.20 (CH₂), 26.54 (CH₃), 27.84 (CH₂), 77.25 (CH), 79.91 (C), 121.27 (CH), 125.48 (CH), 127.45 (CH), 128.21 (CH), 141.48 (C), 142.71 (C).

18b: ¹H NMR (300 MHz): 1.16 (s, 9H, *t*-Bu), 1.50–2.20 (m, 6H, 3CH₂), 4.88 (m, 1H, CHO), 6.28 (m, 1H, CH_{olef}), 7.26–7.40 (m, 5H, CH_{arom}). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz): 16.43 (CH₂), 26.16 (CH₂), 26.59 (CH₂), 26.64 (CH₃), 76.62 (CH), 79.49 (C), 126.08 (CH), 126.71 (CH), 128.05 (CH), 132.48 (CH), 134.22 (C), 141.18 (C).

3.10. 1-tert-Butyl-3-tert-butylperoxy-1-cyclohexene 17c

(a) (Entry 11 of Table 1): Following the general procedure, 9.96 g (60 mmol) 1-tert-butyl-1-cyclohexene 13c dissolved in 30 ml MeCN was oxidized with 1.365 g (12 mmol) t-BuOOH in the presence of 684 mg (2.25 mmol) 3 and 420 mg (1.5 mmol) Cu(I)OTf at -20° C (27 d, conv. of t-BuOOH: 76%). Chromatographic work up (n-pentane:Et₂O 10:1) gave 696 mg (67% rel. to conv.) of the peroxide mixture 16c-18c (ratio 16c:17c:18c=4:81:15, GC and ¹H NMR analyses). Repeated chromatography (n-pentane:Et₂O 100:1) yielded 316 mg (30%) pure 17c {[α]_D²² -20.0 (c 1.93, CHCl₃); ee 16% (S), determined by chiral GC after reduction with DIBAH—see below}. The minor products 16c and 18c

were not isolated, they were identified from the crude mixture by comparing with the racemic products 1 (GC and 1 H NMR analyses). **17c**: Elemental analysis: calc. (found) C: 74.34 (74.34), H: 11.50 (10.98). 1 H NMR (300 MHz): 1.02 (s, 9H, t-Bu), 1.24 (s, 9H, t-Bu), 1.40–2.10 (m, 6H, 3CH₂), 4.42 (m, 1H, CHO), 5.50 (m, 1H, CH_{olef}). 13 C NMR (75 MHz): 19.3 (CH₂), 24.7 (CH₂), 26.5 (CH₃), 26.9 (CH₂), 28.8 (CH₃), 35.5 (C), 77.7 (CH), 79.7 (C), 115.45 (CH), 152.8 (C). GC–MS (EI): m/z 137 (100, M⁺–C₄H₉O₂). (b) (Entry 10 of Table 1): The analogous oxidation of **13c** (3.32 g, 20 mmol) at 0°C (14 d, conv. of t-BuOOH: 69%) led to 226 mg (73% rel. to conv.) of the mixture of isomeric peroxides **16c–18c** (ratio **16c:17c:18c=**5:75:15), from which 180 mg (58%) pure **17c** {[α]_D^{27.5} –9.2 (c 0.44, CHCl₃); ee 8% (S), see above} was isolated by chromatography.

Reduction of 17c: As described for the peroxide 2, 215 mg (0.95 mmol) 17c in 3 ml *n*-hexane was treated with 2 mmol DIBAH (50°C, 10 h). Chromatographic purification (*n*-hexane:EtOAc 10:1) yielded 107 mg (73%) 1-tert-butyl-1-cyclohexen-3-ol. Reduction of 17c { $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ -20 (c 1.93, CHCl₃); exp. (a)} afforded the allylic alcohol with an ee value of 16% [chiral GC: temp. 150°C, t_R 15.3 min (R), 15.6 min (S)]. From the reduction of 17c { $[\alpha]_D^{27.5}$ -9.2 (c 0.44, CHCl₃); exp. (b)}, 1-tert-butyl-1-cyclohexen-3-ol with 8% ee and $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -5.2 (c 0.57, CHCl₃) was obtained.

3.11. Peroxidation of (-)-(1S,5S)-2-pinene 23a

According to the general procedure, 1.36 g (10 mmol) 23a was oxidized in a solvent mixture of MeCN:acetone (1:1) using 180 mg (2 mmol) t-BuOOH (98%), 70 mg (0.25 mmol) Cu(I)OTf and 114 mg (0.375 mmol) 3 at 0°C (10 d, conv. of t-BuOOH: 85%). After usual work up and chromatography (n-pentane:Et₂O 100:1), 100 mg (58% rel. to conv.) of the mixture of peroxides 24a–30a [product composition: 24a (16.4%), 25a (0.8%), 26a (46.7%), 27a (21.8%), 28a (8.3%), 29a (1.4%), 30a (4.5%); GC–MS analysis] was obtained. The main product 26a was isolated in pure form as a colourless oil {yield: 32 mg, 17% rel. to conv.; $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –0.1 (c 0.86, CH₂Cl₂)} by chromatographic separation (n-pentane:Et₂O 100:1). The other isomers were obtained as enriched samples of the following purities (GC analyses): 24a (90%), 27a (80%) and 28a (60%). They were identified by 1 H and 13 C NMR spectroscopy (1 H, 1 H-COSY, 1 H, 1 H-NOESY, APT and 1 H, 1 C-HETCOR experiments). The peroxides 25a (comparison of the 1 H and GC–MS data with those of the isolated enantiomeric compound 25b, see below), 29a (GC–MS analysis) and 30a (1 H NMR, 1 C NMR and GC–MS analysis) were identified directly from the crude mixture.

(IR,2S,5R)-2-tert-Butylperoxy-3-pinene (24a): ¹H NMR (500 MHz): 0.95 (s, 3H, CH₃, H-9), 1.19 (s, 9H, t-Bu), 1.35 (s, 3H, CH₃, H-8), 1.39 (s, 3H, CH₃, H-10), 1.77 (d, 1H, H-7_{exo}, J 8.8 Hz), 2.14 (q, 1H, H-5, J 6.2 Hz), 2.21 (td, 1H, H-1, J_1 6.3 Hz, J_2 2 Hz), 2.27 (dt, 1H, H-7_{endo}, J_1 9 Hz, J_2 5.7 Hz), 5.48 (dm, 1H, H-3, J 8.8 Hz), 6.33 (dd, 1H, H-4, J_1 9 Hz, J_2 6.5 Hz). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz): 24.05 (C-9), 25.9 (C-10), 26.70 (t-Bu), 27.58 (C-8), 32.41 (C-7), 42.55 (C-5), 46.39 (C-6), 50.46 (C-1), 126.75 (C-3), 139.84 (C-4). GC–MS (EI): m/z 135 (3, M⁺– C₄H₉O₂).

(IR, 2R, 5R)-2-tert-Butylperoxy-3-pinene (25a): ¹H NMR (500 MHz): 1.06 (s, 3H, CH_3), 1.19 (s, 9H, t-Bu), 1.30 (s, 3H, CH_3 , H-9), 1.33 (s, 3H, CH_3), 1.40 (d, 1H, H-7_{exo}, J 9 Hz), 2.10-2.14 (m, 2H, H-1 and H-5), 2.47 (dt, 1H, H-7_{endo}, J_1 9 Hz, J_2 5.3 Hz), 5.68 (dd, 1H, H-3, J_1 9 Hz, J_2 1.5 Hz), 6.24 (dd, 1H, H-4, J_1 9 Hz, J_2 6.5 Hz). GC-MS (EI): m/z 135 (3, M^+ -C₄H₉O₂).

(1S,4R,5S)-4-tert-Butylperoxy-2-pinene (26a): 1 H NMR (500 MHz): 0.87 (s, 3H, CH₃, H-9), 1.23 (s, 9H, t-Bu), 1.33 (s, 3H, CH₃, H-8), 1.40 (dt, 1H, H-7_{exo}, J_1 9 Hz, J_2 1.4 Hz), 1.71 (t, 3H, CH₃, H-10, J 1.5 Hz), 2.0 (td, 1H, H-1, J_1 5.1 Hz, J_2 1.3 Hz), 2.21 (dt, 1H, H-7_{endo}, J_1 9 Hz, J_2 5.6 Hz), 2.43 (m, 1H, H-5), 4.52 (m, 1H, H-4), 5.30 (m, 1H, H-3). 13 C NMR (100 MHz): 20.36 (C-9), 22.80 (C-10), 26.46 (t-Bu),

26.46 (C-8), 28.80 (C-7), 43.02 (C-5), 45.76 (C-6), 47.99 (C-1), 79.74 (C-O), 82.30 (C-4), 114.13 (C-3), 151.26 (C-2). GC-MS (EI): m/z 151 (1.5), 135 (5, M^+ - $C_4H_9O_2$).

(1S,4S,5S)-4-tert-Butylperoxy-2-pinene (27a): 1 H NMR (500 MHz): 0.98 (s, 3H, CH₃, H-9), 1.23 (s, 9H, t-Bu), 1.30 (d, 1H, H-7_{exo}, J 8.8 Hz), 1.31 (s, 3H, CH₃, H-8), 1.71 (t, 3H, CH₃, H-10, J 1.8 Hz), 1.94 (t, 1H, H-1, J 5 Hz), 2.45 (dm, 1H, H-7_{endo}, J 9 Hz), 2.48 (m, 1H, H-5), 4.68 (m, 1H, H-4), 5.45 (m, 1H, H-3). 13 C NMR (100 MHz): 22.67 (C-9), 26.54 (t-Bu), 26.73 (C-8), 35.22 (C-7), 39.04 (C-6), 44.76 (C-5), 48.05 (C-1), 79.49 (CO), 84.58 (C-4), 115.87 (C-3), 148.71 (C-2). GC–MS (EI): m/z 151 (2), 135 (7, M⁺–C₄H₉O₂).

(*I*R, *3*S, *5*R)-*3*-tert-*Butylperoxy-2*(*10*)-pinene (*28a*): ¹H NMR (500 MHz): 0.65 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.19 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.25 (s, 9H, *t*-Bu), 1.23–1.27 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.55 (d, 1H, CH₂, *J* 9.8 Hz), 1.92 (m, 1H, CH), 2.35 (m, 1H, CH₂), 2.45 (m, 1H, CH), 4.61 (d, 1H, CHO, *J* 6.5 Hz), 4.92 (s, 1H, CH₂, H-10), 5.13 (s, 1H, CH₂, H-10). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz): 21.85 (CH₃), 25.85 (CH₃), 26.29 (CH₃), 27.85 (CH₂), 34.05 (CH₂), 39.51 (CH), 50.58 (CH), 78.42 (CH), 114.88(CH₂), 121.6 (C). GC–MS (EI): m/z 135 (11, M⁺–C₄H₉O₂). (*I*R, 5S)-*10*-tert-*Butylperoxy-2*-pinene (*30a*): ¹H NMR (500 MHz): 1.28 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.09 (m, 3H), 2.21–2.26 (m, 3H), 2.39 (m, 1H), 4.28 (dq, 1H, OCH₂, *J*₁ 10.5 Hz, *J*₂ 1.4 Hz), 4.33 (dq, 1H, OCH₂, *J*₁ 10.4 Hz, *J*₂ 1.4 Hz), 5.53 (m, 1H, CH). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz): 26.15 (CH₃), 31.20 (CH₂), 31.51 (CH₂), 40.73 (CH), 44.13 (CH), 78.26 (OCH₂), 122.58 (CH). GC–MS (EI): m/z 135 (11, M⁺–C₄H₉O₂).

3.12. Peroxidation of (+)-(1R,5R)-2-pinene (23b)

Analogous oxidation (as described for 23a) of 1.36 g (10 mmol) 23b at 0°C afforded, after chromatography (see above), 120 mg (63% rel. to conv.) of the mixture of isomeric pinene peroxides 24b–30b. [Product composition: 24b (20.1%), 25b (1.5%), 26b (42.1%), 27b (20.5%), 28b (7.8%), 29b (1.2%), 30b (5.7%); GC-MS analysis.] The products were identified by comparing the ¹H NMR and GC-MS data with those of the enantiomeric compounds 24a–30a. A sample (ca. 1 mg) of the minor isomer 25b (purity: 90%) was obtained by flash chromatography (*n*-pentane:Et₂O 100:1), the ¹H NMR and GC-MS data were in accordance with those of the enantiomer 25a (see above).

3.13. General procedure for the enantioselective acyloxylation reactions

(a) Without addition of copper (entry 4 of Table 3 is representative): Copper(I) oxide (0.05 mmol, 7.5 mg) and 44 mg (0.13 mmol) of the ligand 3 were stirred for 12 h at 30°C in 5 ml MeCN under N_2 . [When Cu(I)OTf or $Cu(OAc)_2$ were used, they were likewise treated for 2 h at rt.] After filtration over a Celite bed (Merck, particle size 0.1 mm), and washing of the residue with 3 ml MeCN, the clear filtrate was concentrated to 5 ml (rt, ca. 7 mbar). To the obtained catalyst solution, 821 mg (10 mmol) cyclohexene (1) and then 300 mg (5 mmol) acetic acid were added (N_2). Within 10 min, a solution of mg (2 mmol) t-BuOOH (80%) dissolved in 2 ml MeCN was added dropwise under stirring at rt. The temperature was raised to 65°C (\pm 3°C) and stirring was continued for 24 h (N_2). After cooling to rt, iodometric titration showed the complete conversion of t-BuOOH. The solvent and the excess olefin (1) were removed in vacuo (rt, ca. 50 mbar). The residue was purified by flash chromatography (n-hexane:EtOAc 25:1) to yield 40 mg (23%) pure 2 [ee \approx 3% (S), chiral GC]. As the second (main) fraction, 200 mg (71%) 3-acetoxy-1-cyclohexene (22) [ee 66% (S)] was isolated. The ee value of 22 was determined by chiral GC [temp. 40°C-1 K/min-140°C; t_R 58.8 min (R), 59.3 min (S)]. The configuration of 22 was determined by chiral GC by comparing with a sample of (S)-22 prepared by the method of Muzart (S) (ee 63%), showing

[α]_D²⁵ –109 (c 1.59, CHCl₃).³⁰ Additionally, the hydrolysis of (S)-22 gave (-)-(S)-1-cyclohexen-3-ol (see below). The spectroscopic data (¹H NMR, MS, IR) of 22 are in agreement with the published data.³⁰ (b) Oxidations in the presence of copper(0) (entry 9 of Table 3 is representative): After analogous preparation of the catalyst solution from 7.5 mg (0.05 mmol) Cu₂O and 72 mg (0.24 mmol) 3 in 5 ml MeCN as described under (a), 4 mg (0.06 mmol) copper powder were added (N₂). Under stirring at rt, 827 mg (10 mmol) cyclohexene (1) and 300 mg (5 mmol) acetic acid were added. After dropwise addition of 2 mmol t-BuOOH (dissolved in 2 ml MeCN) within 10 min, stirring was continued for 24 h. Analogous work up and chromatography yielded 90 mg (32%) pure 22 [ee 67% (S), chiral GC]. GC analysis of the reaction mixture showed the formation of 2 (15%) and 1-cyclohexen-3-one (5%) as byproducts.

Hydrolysis of (S)-22: By adopting Muzart's method, ^{2b} 70 mg (0.5 mmol) (S)-22 (ee 63%, chiral GC) were treated with 4 ml of a 4 M KOH solution in MeOH at 0°C (2 h). After complete conversion of 22 (TLC), the solvent was removed in vacuo (rt, ca. 50 mbar) and the residue extracted with Et₂O (3×30 ml). The collected organic phases were washed with 1 ml ice-cold 1 N HCl, then with 2 ml brine and dried over MgSO₄. After evaporation of the solvent and chromatographic purification (*n*-pentane:Et₂O 5:1), 20 mg (41%) pure 1-cyclohexen-3-ol {ee 57% (S), $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -68.2 (c 1.0, CHCl₃)²⁷} were isolated. The ee value was determined by chiral GC (see above, reduction of peroxide 2). The ¹H NMR data were in agreement with the published values.²⁷

3.14. Enantioselective benzoyloxylation of I-methyl-I-cyclohexene 13a

To the catalyst solution, prepared by stirring 56 mg (0.2 mmol) Cu(I)OTf and 91.3 mg (0.3 mmol) 3 in 7 ml acetone at rt for 2 h (N₂), 1.7 g 13a was added and the mixture was degassed. At 0°C, a solution of 783 mg *tert*-butyl perbenzoate (4 mmol, 98%) in 2 ml acetone was added with stirring over a period of 1 h. The reaction mixture was allowed to stand for 14 d at 0°C (conv. of PhCO₃t-Bu: 37%, iodometric titration). The excess perester was destroyed by treatment with 10 ml saturated aq. KI and 4 ml 2 M HCl. After addition of 20 ml saturated aq. NaHCO₃, the mixture was decolourized by treating with 37% NaHSO₃ and then extracted with Et₂O (3×30 ml). The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent was evaporated. Chromatographic purification (n-hexane:EtOAc 10:1) of the residue yielded 90 mg (59% rel. to conv.) of a mixture of the isomeric benzoates 19a–21a (ratio 19a:20a:21a=17:52:31, ¹H NMR analysis). The ee values and the configurations³¹ of the benzoates were determined directly from the mixture by chiral HPLC analysis (comparison with the corresponding racemic compounds).

3-Methyl-1-cyclohexen-3-yl benzoate (19a): ee 66% (S); [HPLC, Chiralcel OD; t_R 16.3 min (S), 28.9 min (R)]; ¹H NMR (300MHz): 1.63 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.60–2.05 (m, 6H, 3CH₂), 5.80 (m, 1H, CH_{olef}), 6.20 (dm, 1H, CH_{olef}), 7.30 (m, 2H, CH_{arom}), 7.40 (m, 1H, CH_{arom}), 7.97 (d, 2H, CH_{arom}, J 7.2 Hz).

1-Methyl-1-cyclohexen-3-yl benzoate (20a): ee 64% (*S*); [HPLC, Chiralcel OJ; t_R 18.0 min (*R*), 19.3 min (*S*)]; ¹H NMR (300 MHz): 1.72 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.60–2.05 (m, 6H, 3CH₂), 5.50 (m, 1H, OCH), 5.60 (m, 1H, CH_{olef}), 7.40 (t, 2H, CH_{arom}, *J* 7.2 Hz), 7.50 (m, 1H, CH_{arom}), 8.00 (d, 2H, CH_{arom}, *J* 7.5 Hz).

2-Methyl-1-cyclohexen-3-yl benzoate (21a): ee 70% (S); [HPLC, Chiralcel OD; t_R 15.2 min (S), 17.5 min (R)]; ¹H NMR (300 MHz): 1.70 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.60–2.05 (m, 6H, 3CH₂), 5.45 (m, 1H, OCH), 5.70 (m, 1H, CH_{olef}), 7.40 (m, 2H, CH_{arom}), 7.50 (m, 1H, CH_{arom}), 8.00 (m, 2H, CH_{arom}).

Because in the case of 19a and 20a no baseline separation was achieved (chiral HPLC), 80 mg of the mixture of the optically active benzoates was hydrolyzed (24 h, rt) with KOH/MeOH as described for 22 to yield, after chromatographic purification (*n*-pentane:Et₂O 5:1), 20 mg (48%) of a mixture of the corresponding allylic alcohols (S)-3-methyl-1-cyclohexen-3-ol (ee 72%, chiral GC, conditions: see

reduction of **16a**), (S)-1-methyl-1-cyclohexen-3-ol (ee 73%, chiral GC) and (S)-2-methyl-1-cyclohexen-3-ol (ee not determined, no separation on the chiral GC column).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Prof. Dr. A. Pfaltz (Max Planck Institut Mühlheim) for a gift of the ligand **4c**. The financial support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB-347 'Selektive Reaktionen Metallaktivierter Moleküle' and Graduiertenkolleg 'Synthese und Reaktionsverhalten von Organometallverbindungen und Metall-komplexen') and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- 1. Gadissa Gelalcha, F. Dissertation, Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg, 1998.
- (a) Levina, A.; Henin, F.; Muzart, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, 494, 165-168.
 (b) Levina, A.; Muzart, J. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1995, 6, 147-156.
 (c) Rispens, M. T.; Zondervan, C.; Feringa, B. L. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1995, 6, 661-664.
 (d) Zondervan, C.; Feringa, B. L. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1996, 7, 1895-1898.
- 3. (a) Gokhale, A. S.; Minidis, A. B. E.; Pfaltz, A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 1831–1834. (b) Andrus, M. B.; Argade, A. B.; Chen, X.; Pamment, M. G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 2945–2948. (c) Kawasaki, K.; Katsuki, T. *Tetrahedron* **1997**, *53*, 6337–6350.
- 4. (a) Kharasch, M. S.; Pauson, P.; Nudenberg, W. J. Org. Chem. 1953, 18, 322–327. (b) Treibs, W.; Pellmann, G. Chem. Ber. 1954, 87, 1201–1205. (c) Kharasch, M. S.; Fono, A. J. Org. Chem. 1958, 23, 324–325; ibid. 1959, 24, 72–78.
- 5. Schulz, M.; Kluge, R.; Liebsch, S.; Lessig, J.; Halik, M.; Gadissa Gelalcha, F. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 13151-13166.
- 6. Compare Ref. 2; but in contrast, no peroxidation was observed, when isolated bis(aquo)-bis-(S)-prolinato copper(II) was employed.
- 7. From the reaction of Cu(I)OTf and 3 (solvent: MeCN) a crystalline chiral binuclear complex [Cu₂L*₂](OTf)₂ (L*=3) was isolated and characterized by X-ray analysis. Because this copper(I) complex is surely not involved in the peroxy-group transfer, the structure will not be discussed here.
- 8. Hanson, R. M.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 1922-1925.
- 9. Adam, W.; Korb, M. N. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1997, 8, 1131-1142.
- 10. The same sign of optical rotation was also observed for the corresponding allylic alcohols and esters (compare refs. 2, 3, 27, 29 and 30).
- (a) Saito, I.; Nittala, S. S. In The Chemistry of Peroxides, Part I; Patai, S., Ed. John Wiley: New York, 1983; p. 161. (b)
 Davies, A. G.; Feld, R. J. Chem. Soc. 1956, 665-670.
- (a) Dale, J. A.; Dull, D. L.; Mosher, H. S. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 2543–2549.
 (b) Dale, J. A.; Mosher, H. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 512–519.
- 13. No peroxide formation was reported for the oxidation of cyclic olefins with *t*-BuOOH/HOAc in the presence of amino acid copper complexes (Ref. 2).
- 14. A conceivable conversion $2 \rightarrow 22$ under the reaction conditions was excluded by independent experiments.
- 15. Muzart, J. J. Mol. Catal. 1991, 64, 381-384.
- 16. Rawlinson, D. J.; Sosnovsky, G. Synthesis 1972, 1-28.
- 17. (a) Kochi, J. K. Organometallic Mechanism and Catalysis; Academic Press: New York, 1978. (b) Minisci, F.; Fontana, F.; Araneo, S.; Recupero, F.; Banfi, S.: Quici, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 226–232.
- 18. Cohen, H.; Meyerstein, D. *Inorg. Chem.* **1987**, 26, 2342–2344.
- 19. Beckwith, A. L. J.; Zavitsas, A. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 8230-8234.
- (a) Rieck, H.; Helmchen, G. Angew. Chem. 1995, 107, 2881-2883; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 2687-2689.
 (b) Trost, B. M.; Bunt, R. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 235-236.
 (c) Lloyd, G. C.; Minidis, A. B. E.; Pfaltz, A.; Macko, L.; Neuburger, M.; Zehnder, M. Helv. Chim. Acta 1995, 78, 265-284.
 (d) Pretot, P.; Pfaltz, A. Angew. Chem. 1998, 110, 333-335; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1998, 37, 323-325 and Refs. cited therein.
- 21. Noyori, R.; Katamura, M. In Modern Synthetic Methods; Scheffold, R., Ed. Springer: Berlin, 1989; Vol. 5, p. 115.
- 22. Compare: Bravo, A.; Bjorsvik, H.-R.; Fontana, F.; Liguori, L.; Minisci, F. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 3849-3857.

- (a) Katajima, N.; Katayama, T.; Fujisawa, K.; Iwata, Y.; Moro-oka, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 7872-7873. (b) Wada,
 A.; Harata, M.; Hasegawa, K.; Jitsukawa, K.; Matsuda, H.; Mukai, M.; Kitagawa, T.; Einaga, H. Angew. Chem. 1998, 110, 874-875; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1998, 37, 798-799.
- 24. Prikryl, R.; Tkac, A.; Malik, L.; Omelka, L.; Vesely, K. Coll. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1975, 40, 104-128.
- 25. Wachter, R. M.; Montague-Smith, M. P.; Branchaud, B. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 7743-7749.
- (a) Sokolowski, A.; Leutbecher, H.; Weyhermuller, T.; Schnepf, R.; Bothe, E.; Bill, E.; Hildebrandt, P.; Wieghardt, K. J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. 1997, 2, 444-451.
 (b) Chaudhuri, P.; Hess, M.; Flörke, U.; Wieghardt, K. Angew. Chem. 1998, 110, 2340-2343; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1998, 37, 2217-2220.
- For the pure enantiomers see: (a) Fukazawa, T.; Hashimoto, T. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1993, 4, 2323-2326. (b) Asami, M.; Ishizaki, T.; Inone, S. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1994, 5, 793-796.
- 28. Adam, W.; Curci, R.; D'Accolti, L.; Dinoi, A.; Fusco, C.; Gasparini, F.; Kluge, R.; Paredes, R.; Schulz, M.; Smerz, A. K.; Veloza, L. A.; Weinkötz, S.; Winde, R. Chem. Eur. J. 1997, 3, 105–109.
- 29. For the [α]_D values of the pure enantiomers see: Mori, K.; Ogoche, J. I. J. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1988, 903–905.
- 30. For enantiomerically pure (-)-(S)-22 [α]_D²⁰ -204.9 (c 0.25, CHCl₃) was reported: Izumi, T.; Nakamura, T.; Eda, Y. J. Chem. Tech. Biotechnol. 1993, 57, 175-180.
- 31. Determined from the sign of optical rotation (chiral detector), by comparing with the corresponding alcohols²⁹ and acetates: Motoji, K.; Yasutaka, S.; Shiro, T. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1985, 33, 52-57.